

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

DELIVERY MODE

ELECTRONIC

NOTIFICATION DATE

03/18/2008

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/729,866	12/06/2000	Hideaki Yamanaka	200500US2	7895
22859 7599 03/18/2008 DBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/729.866 YAMANAKA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA 3691 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 September 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

and 04/07/2006. U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/06/2000,05/14/2004, 03/16/2005, 07/08/2005.

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

6) Other:



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,866 Page 2

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on September 15, 2006, PROSECUTION IS

HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the

following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply

under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed

by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and

appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth

in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant

must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Alexander Kalinowski/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/729,866

Art Unit: 3691

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority to Japanese application JAPAN 2000-20770 01/28/2000.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2, 5-8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maari, U.S. Pub No. 2004/0073451 (reference A in attached PTO-892) in view of Nagano, International Application No. PCT/JP00/01903 (reference N in attached PTO-892).
- As per claims 2 and 19, Maari teaches a digital content billing system using a network (see Fig. 1), comprising:

a holder having digital content, which is set to become usable by an execution key, and holding a right to let a user use the digital content (see Fig. 1, Content Provider (240); see paragraph [0071]; where content provider carries copyright holder and determine fees to be billed to user for digital content);

a distributor obtaining the digital content from the holder and distributing to the digital content to a user (Fig. 5, Administrative Center (211); paragraph [0072]); where

Art Unit: 3691

Administrator Center acts as distributor of digital contents, receives digital content from content provider or holder);

an administrator server obtaining the execution key from the holder (see Fig. 5; paragraph [0071]; where administrator center encodes and compresses the digital content with content ID provided by content provider);

receiving execution declaration of the digital content from the user (see Fig. 5; paragraph [0068]; where user request desired digital content which includes video (movie, advertisement and audio data);

downloading advertising information piece and the execution key to the user through the network (see Fig. 5, paragraph [0072], [0078] and [0082]); and

paying an execution fee to the holder (see Fig. 7, step 4; paragraph [0063] and [0071]; where fee is paid to digital content provider).

Maari <u>does not teach an advertiser possessing an advertising information piece</u>
<u>to be provided for the user; obtain the advertising information piece from the advertiser;</u>
and collecting an advertisement rate from the advertiser that corresponds to the number
<u>of execution times of the digital content used by the user.</u>

Nagano teaches an advertiser possessing an advertising information piece to be provided for the user; obtain the advertising information piece from the advertiser (Nagano, Fig. 1, Advertising Data Input Device (10) and Advertising Data Database (14) Paragraph [0019], [023] and [0024]); and collecting an advertisement rate from the advertiser that corresponds to the number of execution times of the digital content used

Application/Control Number: 09/729,866 Art Unit: 3691

by the user (see Fig. 1, Advertising-Fee Calculation/Notification Device (20); Fig. 3, steps S12: paragraph (00261).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an advertiser possessing an advertising information piece to be provided for the user; obtain the advertising information piece from the advertiser; and collecting an advertisement rate from the advertiser that corresponds to the number of execution times of the digital content used by the user of Maari because Nagano teaches that incorporating above features would enable to determine whether a user actually accessed supplied advertising data accommodating advertisement sponsors (or advertiser) who wish to provide advertising data under a limited budget (Nagano, paragraph [0007]).

As per claim 5, Maari in view of Nagano teach claim 2 as described above.
 Maari further teaches the digital content billing system using a network wherein,

the holder (administrator center) pays to the distributor server a download charge ((see Fig. 7, step 4; paragraph [0063] and {0071]; where fee is paid to digital content provider).

Maari does not teach the distributor server notifies the holder of the number of download times of the digital content downloaded to the user.

Nagano teaches notifying the number of times digital content has been clicked or downloaded (see Fig. 1, Advertising-Fee Calculation/Notification Device (20); Fig. 3, steps S12; paragraph (0026)).

Art Unit: 3691

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include notifying the number of times digital content has been clicked or downloaded of Maari because Nagano teaches that incorporating above features would enable calculate fee for use of digital content by verifying whether a user actually accessed supplied data accommodating sponsors (or advertiser) who wish to provide advertising data under a limited budget (Nagano, paragraph [0007]).

 As per claim 6, Maari in view of Nagano teach claim 2 as described above. Maari further teaches the digital content billing system using a network wherein,

when the administrator server receives the execution declaration from the user, the administrator server downloads to the user a plurality of advertising information pieces and the execution key, which permits the user to use the digital content a prescribed number of times (see Fig. 5, paragraph [0060] and [0071]; where administrator center supplies the digital content requested by the user).

6. As per claim 7-8, Maari in view of Nagano teach claim 2 as described above. Maari further teaches the digital content billing system using a network wherein when the execution key is not currently downloaded to the user from the administrator server because of an abnormal state even though a prescribed time has passed after the user sent the execution declaration of the digital content, the user uses the digital content by using an execution key downloaded from the administrator server in the past while seeing an advertising information piece downloaded from the administrator server in the past; and after the abnormal state has passed the user notifies the administrator server that the user used the digital content by using the execution key downloaded

Art Unit: 3691

from the administrator server in the past (see paragraph [0057], [0082] and [0096]; where transfer data from administration center based on either common-key encryption or public-key encryption and arrangement can be made by administrative center for using previous execution due to an abnormal state).

- Claims 3-4, 9-11 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maari, U.S. Pub No. 2004/0073451 (reference A in attached PTO-892) in view of Nagano, International Application No. PCT/JP00/01903 (reference N in attached PTO-892) further in view of Reilly et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,740,549 (reference B in attached PTO-892).
- As per claim 3-4, and 9, Maari in view of Nagano teach claim 2 as described above. Maari further teaches user using the digital content by using the execution key downloaded to the user by administrative center (see Fig. 2; paragraph [0071] and [0082]).

Maari does not teach the advertising information piece downloaded to the user is displayed simultaneously and in between time periods with the digital content and the advertising information piece downloaded from the administrator server to the user corresponds to content of the digital content.

Reilly et al. teach the advertising information piece downloaded to the user is displayed simultaneously and in between time periods with the digital content and the advertising information piece downloaded from the administrator server to the user

Art Unit: 3691

corresponds to content of the digital content (see Fig. 6 and 10; column 1, lines 57-58; column 2, lines 28-34, 42-46).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the advertising information piece downloaded to the user is displayed simultaneously and in between time periods with the digital content the advertising information piece downloaded from the administrator server to the user corresponds to content of the digital content of Maari in view of Nagano because Reilly et al. teach that incorporating above features would enable to provide a "targeted" audience for the advertisers (Reilly et al., column 2, lines 43-47).

 As per claims 10-11 and 14-16, Maari in view of Nagano teach claim 2 as described above. Maari further teaches the digital content billing system using a network wherein

the administrator server receives the execution declaration of the digital content from the user (see Fig. 5; paragraph [0068]; where user request desired digital content which includes video (movie, advertisement and audio data)) and administrator center supplying digital content (including advertisement) to the user (see Fig. 5; paragraph [0071]).

Maari does not teach the administrator server requiring the user to select a residential district of the user and a genre of the advertising information piece to be downloaded to the user, and the advertising information piece of the selected genre is downloaded to the user; the administrator server downloads to the user the advertising information piece that corresponds to content of another digital content used by the user

Art Unit: 3691

in the past; the digital content that closely relates to the residential district of the user and a nationwide digital content to the user, which is obtained from a network operator managing the network.

Reilly et al. teach profiler for storing user viewing preferences indicating information categories for which the user does or does not want to information items (Reilly et al., abstract; Fig. 3 and 4; column 7, lines 13-27) and displaying advertisement associated with same category of digital content displayed (Reilly et al., column 2, lines 42-47).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include profiler for storing user viewing preferences indicating information categories for which the user does or does not want to information items to be displayed and displaying advertisement associated with same category of digital content displayed of Maari in view of Nagano because Reilly et al. teach that incorporating above features would enable to fulfill user's interest in receiving information relating to particular categories and provide a "targeted" audience for the advertisers (Reilly et al., column 2, lines 43-47; column 7, lines 13-20).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maari,
 U.S. Pub No. 2004/0073451 (reference A in attached PTO-892) in view of Nagano,
 International Application No. PCT/JP00/01903 (reference N in attached PTO-892)
 further in view of Abecassis, U.S. Pub No. 2001/0041053 (reference C in attached PTO-892).

Application/Control Number: 09/729,866 Art Unit: 3691

11. As per claim 12, Maari in view of Nagano teach claim 2 as described above.

Maari does not teach the administrator server collects the advertisement rate from the advertiser that is determined according to a matching point between content of the digital content related to the execution declaration of the user and content of the advertising information piece downloaded from the administrator server to the user.

Abecassis teaches compensating viewers of advertisement and compensation rate is based on specific advertisement presented in a manner consistent with the viewer's taste level for a product and services for which viewer has an interest (Abecassis, paragraph [0035]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include advertisement rate from the advertiser that is determined according to a matching point between content of the digital content related to the execution declaration of the user and content of the advertising information piece downloaded from the administrator server of Maari in view of Nagano because Abecassis teaches that incorporating above features would enable to subsidize cost to viewers by matching viewers interest in the subject of the commercials to potential purchase by the viewer of that product and services (Abecassis, paragraph [0036]).

12. As per claim 17, Maari teaches a digital content billing system using a network, comprising:

an administrator server obtaining the execution key from the holder(see Fig. 5; paragraph [0071]; where administrator center encodes and compresses the digital

Art Unit: 3691

content with content ID provided by content provider), receiving an execution declaration of the digital content from the user (see Fig. 5; paragraph [0068]; where user request desired digital content which includes video (movie, advertisement and audio data), downloading the execution key to the user through the network (see Fig. 2; paragraph [0071] and [0082]);

a distributor server obtaining from the holder the digital content that includes the advertising information piece and distributing the digital content with the advertising information piece to the user (Fig. 5, Administrative Center (211); paragraph [0072]); where Administrator Center acts as distributor of digital contents (includes advertising), receives digital content from content provider or holder).

Maari does not teach an advertiser possessing an advertising information piece to be provided for a user; a holder receiving the advertising information piece from the advertiser, and notifying the advertiser of the number of execution times of the digital content used by the user, wherein the holder collects an advertisement rate from the advertiser that corresponds to the number of execution times of the digital content used by the user.

Nagano teaches an advertiser possessing an advertising information piece to be provided for a user; a holder receiving the advertising information piece from the advertiser (Nagano, Fig. 1, Advertising Data Input Device (10) and Advertising Data Database (14) Paragraph [0019], [023] and [0024]), and notifying the advertiser of the number of execution times of the digital content used by the user, wherein the holder collects an advertisement rate from the advertiser that corresponds to the number of

Art Unit: 3691

execution times of the digital content used by the user (see Fig. 1, Advertising-Fee Calculation/Notification Device (20); Fig. 3, steps S12; paragraph [0026]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an advertiser possessing an advertising information piece to be provided for a user; a holder receiving the advertising information piece from the advertiser, and notifying the advertiser of the number of execution times of the digital content used by the user, wherein the holder collects an advertisement rate from the advertiser that corresponds to the number of execution times of the digital content used by the user of Maari because Nagano teaches that incorporating above features would enable to determine whether a user actually accessed supplied advertising data accommodating advertisement sponsors (or advertiser) who wish to provide advertising data under a limited budget (Nagano, paragraph [00071).

Maari does <u>not teach the holder paying a download charge to the administrator</u> server that corresponds to the number of download times of the execution key downloaded from the administrator server to the user.

Abecassis teaches compensating for verified apparent viewing of advertisement independent of use of other services (Abecassis, Fig. 12B, paragraph [0036]; where user is credited viewing the advertisement; Examiner interprets the compensation provided to administrator in turn transmitted to the viewer ultimately).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include compensating for verified apparent viewing of advertisement independent of use of other services of Maari because Abecassis

Art Unit: 3691

teaches that incorporating above features would enable to increase the potential of purchasing product and services by the viewer (Abecassis, paragraph [0036]).

As per claim 18, Maari in view of Nagano further in view of Abecassis teach claim
 as described above.

Maari does not teach the distributor server notifies the holder of the number of download times of the digital content downloaded to the user.

Nagano teaches the distributor server notifies the holder of the number of download times of the digital content downloaded to the user (see Fig. 1, Advertising-Fee Calculation/Notification Device (20); Fig. 3, steps S12; paragraph [0026]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the distributor server notifies the holder of the number of download times of the digital content downloaded to the user of Maari because Nagano teaches that incorporating above features would enable to calculate the cost and to determine whether a user actually accessed supplied advertising data accommodating advertisement sponsors (or advertiser) who wish to provide advertising data under a limited budget (Nagano, paragraph [0007]).

Maari does not teach the holder pays a download charge to the distributor server that corresponds to the number of download times of the digital content.

Abecassis teaches compensating for verified apparent viewing of advertisement independent of use of other services (Abecassis, Fig. 12B, paragraph [0036]; where user is credited viewing the advertisement; Examiner interprets the compensation provided to distributor (administrator) in turn transmitted to the viewer ultimately).

Art Unit: 3691

15

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include compensating for verified apparent viewing of advertisement independent of use of other services of Maari because Abecassis teaches that incorporating above features would enable to increase the potential of purchasing product and services by the viewer (Abecassis, paragraph (00361).

- 14. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maari. U.S. Pub No. 2004/0073451 (reference A in attached PTO-892) in view of Nagano, International Application No. PCT/JP00/01903 (reference N in attached PTO-892) further in view of Merriman et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,948,061 (reference D in attached PTO-892).
- As per claim 13, Maari in view of Nagano teach claim 2 as described above. Maari does not teach the administrator server guaranteeing the advertiser a minimum number of downloading times the advertising information piece is downloaded to the user or a minimum ratio of the number of downloading times the advertising information piece is downloaded to the user to the number of downloading times of all advertising information pieces downloaded to the user.

Merriman et al. teach guaranteeing the advertiser a minimum number of downloading times the advertising information piece is downloaded to the user (Merriman et al., Fig. 3B, Target No.; column 4, lines 61-62).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include quaranteeing the advertiser a minimum number of

Art Unit: 3691

downloading times the advertising information piece is downloaded to the user of Maari in view of Nagano because Merriman et al. teach that incorporating above features would enable to determine effectiveness of advertising and to guarantee to reach as many individuals in advertiser's target group as possible (Merriman et al., column 1, lines 23-27).

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosures. The following are pertinent to current invention, though not relied upon:

Flavin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,219,788) teach watchdog for trusted electronic content distributions.

Ishikawa (U.S. Pub No. 2001/0037314) teaches system, method and apparatus for authenticating the distribution of data.

Roth et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,285,987) teach internet advertising.

Stefik et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980) teach system for controlling the distribution and use of digital works.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bijendra K. Shrestha whose telephone number is (571)270-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-4:30PM (Monday-Friday); 2nd Friday OFF.

Art Unit: 3691

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Kalinowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691

BKS/3691

Art Unit: 3691